

Small noise asymptotics and first passage times of integrated Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes driven by α -stable Lévy processes

Robert Hintze
robert.hintze@uni-jena.de

and

Ilya Pavlyukevich*
ilya.pavlyukevich@uni-jena.de

Institut für Stochastik
Friedrich–Schiller–Universität Jena
Ernst–Abbe–Platz 2
07743 Jena, Germany

March 4, 2013

Abstract

In this paper we study the asymptotic behaviour of one-dimensional integrated Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes driven by α -stable Lévy processes of small amplitude. We prove that the integrated Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process converges weakly to the underlying α -stable Lévy process in the Skorokhod M_1 -topology which secures the weak convergence of first passage times. This result follows from a more general result about approximations of an arbitrary Lévy process by continuous integrated Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes in the M_1 -topology.

Keywords: α -stable Lévy process; integrated Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process; first passage times; Skorokhod M_1 -topology; tightness; absolutely continuous approximations

1 Introduction

Consider a dimensionless Langevin equation of motion of a particle with a position x subject to a linear friction force $F = -Av$, $A > 0$, (Stokes' friction) and a random noise $\dot{l}$ of a small amplitude $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\ddot{x} = -A\dot{x} + \varepsilon \dot{l}. \quad (1.1)$$

Denoting by $v := \dot{x}$ the velocity process, we understand this equation as a two-dimensional equation in a phase space (v, x) which can be written in the integral form as

$$\begin{aligned} x_t &= x_0 + \int_0^t v_s \, ds, \\ v_t &= v_0 - A \int_0^t v_s \, ds + \varepsilon l_t, \quad t \geq 0, \quad x_0, v_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

The study the dynamics of x and v in the Gaussian case, *i.e.* when $l = b$ is a standard Brownian motion, has a long history. In this case, the velocity process v is a well-known Ornstein–Uhlenbeck (OU) process, and the displacement process x , being the integrated Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process, is often referred to as Langevin's Brownian motion. For example it is well known that for zero initial conditions $x_0 = v_0 = 0$, strong friction and large amplitude, $A = \varepsilon \rightarrow +\infty$, the displacement process x can be considered as a good physical approximation of the Brownian motion (see *e.g.* Chapter 2 in Horsthemke and Lefever [16]). Other applications of integrated Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes can be found in Tory and Pickard [34] (models of sedimentation), Taylor *et al.* [33] (disease spread models), Lemons and Kaufman [20] and Hou *et al.* [17] (physics of plasma, two and three dimensional setting), Smith [32, 31] (models of information accumulation

in decision-making) *etc.* A special attention was paid to the study of first passage problems of the integrated Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process, see Lefebvre [19] and Hesse [15].

The dynamics of the integrated OU processes driven by non-Gaussian Lévy processes attracted attention recently in financial mathematics in the context of stochastic volatility models, see Barndorff–Nielsen [4] and Barndorff–Nielsen and Shephard [5]. Garbaczewski and Olkiewicz [13] studied integrated OU processes driven by a 1-stable (Cauchy) process. Al-Talibi *et al.* [1] established convergence in probability of a marginal distribution of an integrated OU process driven by an α -stable Lévy process in the limit of large friction parameter. Chechkin *et al.* [9] studied the equation (1.1) (with $\varepsilon = 1$, in two- and three-dimensional setting) in a model of plasma in an external constant magnetic field and α -stable Lévy electric forcing.

Our present research is mainly motivated by this paper and focuses on the first passage times of the displacement process x in the limit of small amplitude $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ under the assumption that the driving process l is a non-Gaussian α -stable Lévy process.

Let us briefly outline the course of action of the paper. First we shall show that on a certain ε -dependent time scale the integrated OU process Ax weakly converges to the driving process l in the sense of finite dimensional distributions. Further we have to establish a stronger convergence of the processes in an appropriate topology. We notice that since the driving α -stable Lévy process has càdlàg paths and the integrated Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process is absolutely continuous, no convergence in the uniform topology or in the Skorohod J_1 topology is possible. Thus we prove the convergence in the weaker Skorohod M_1 -topology which is still strong enough to ensure the continuity of the running supremum or the inverse function of a process, and to guarantee the convergence of the first passage times. As a by-product we obtain an approximation result for an arbitrary Lévy process by absolutely continuous integrated OU processes in the M_1 -topology.

2 Object of study and main result

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbf{P})$ be a filtered probability space satisfying the usual conditions. On this probability space consider a Lévy process l with càdlàg paths and a Lévy–Khintchine representation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}e^{iul_t} &= e^{t\Psi(u)}, \\ \Psi(u) &= -\frac{\sigma^2}{2}u^2 + i\mu u + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} \left(e^{iuy} - 1 - iuy\mathbb{I}_{\{|y| \leq 1\}} \right) \nu(dy), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

with $\sigma > 0$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and a jump measure ν satisfying the conditions $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} (y^2 \wedge 1) \nu(dy) < \infty$. In particular we shall be interested in non-Gaussian strictly α -stable Lévy processes $l^{(\alpha)} = (l_t^{(\alpha)})_{t \geq 0}$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, for which closed-form representation of the characteristic exponent Ψ is known to be equal to

$$\Psi(u) = \begin{cases} c|u|^\alpha \left(1 - i\beta \operatorname{sgn}(u) \tan \frac{\pi\alpha}{2} \right), & \alpha \neq 1, \\ c|u| \left(1 + i\beta \frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{sgn}(u) \ln u \right), & \alpha = 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

$c > 0$ and $\beta \in [-1, 1]$ being a scale and skewness parameters. This representation (2.2) corresponds to the Gaussian variance $\sigma^2 = 0$, the Lévy measure

$$\nu(dy) = \left(\frac{c_-}{|y|^{1+\alpha}} \mathbb{I}_{(-\infty, 0)}(y) + \frac{c_+}{|y|^{1+\alpha}} \mathbb{I}_{(0, +\infty)}(y) \right) dy, \quad c_-, c_+ \geq 0, \quad c_- + c_+ > 0, \quad (2.3)$$

and the drift

$$\mu = \begin{cases} \frac{c_+ - c_-}{|1 - \alpha|}, & \alpha \neq 1, \\ -C_{\text{Euler}}(c_+ - c_-), & \alpha = 1, \quad C_{\text{Euler}} = 0.577 \dots \text{ the Euler constant.} \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

The parameters c and β in (2.2) are related to $c_\pm$ and μ in (2.3) and (2.4) by the formulae

$$\beta = \frac{c_+ - c_-}{c_- + c_+} \quad \text{and} \quad c = \begin{cases} (c_- + c_+) \frac{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)}{\alpha(1 - \alpha)} \cos\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right), & \alpha \neq 1, \\ \frac{\pi}{2}(c_- + c_+), & \alpha = 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

For $A > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ consider a linear stochastic differential equation for the velocity $v^\varepsilon = (v_t^\varepsilon)_{t \geq 0}$ driven by a Lévy process l

$$v_t^\varepsilon = v_0 - A \int_0^t v_s^\varepsilon ds + \varepsilon l_t, \quad v_0 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.6)$$

as well as the displacement process

$$x_t^\varepsilon = x_0 + \int_0^t v_s^\varepsilon ds, \quad x_0 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.7)$$

The equation (2.6) can be understood as a stochastic differential equation in the Itô sense. For any $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and Lévy process l (and in particular an α -stable Lévy process) there exists a pathwise unique strong solution

$$v_t^\varepsilon = v_0 e^{-At} + \varepsilon \int_0^t e^{-A(t-s)} dl_s \quad (2.8)$$

where the last integral is understood as a stochastic Ito integral. It is also possible to solve the equation (2.6) pathwise. Indeed, since a Lévy process is a semimartingale with a well-defined p -variation for $p > 2$, the integral of a smooth function $t \mapsto e^{-At}$ w.r.t. l is well defined pathwise as a limit of Riemannian sums, *e.g.* see Section 5.1 in Mikosch and Norvaiša [22] for details. It is helpful to recall another representation of v^ε which is obtained with the help of integration by parts, namely

$$v_t^\varepsilon = v_0 e^{-At} + \varepsilon l_t - \varepsilon \int_0^t e^{-A(t-s)} l_s ds. \quad (2.9)$$

It is clear, that the process v^ε is also càdlàg and its jumps coincide with the jumps of the driving process εl .

The equation (2.7) for the displacement process x^ε can be also solved explicitly. Applying the Fubini theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x_t^\varepsilon &= x_0 + \int_0^t v_s^\varepsilon ds = x_0 + \int_0^t \left[v_0 e^{-As} + \varepsilon \int_0^s e^{-A(s-u)} dl_u \right] ds \\ &= x_0 + \frac{v_0}{A} (1 - e^{-At}) + \varepsilon \int_0^t \left[\int_u^t e^{-A(s-u)} ds \right] dl_u \\ &= x_0 + \frac{v_0}{A} (1 - e^{-At}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{A} \int_0^t (1 - e^{-A(t-u)}) dl_u. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

From now on we set the initial conditions $x_0 = v_0 = 0$. At the end of the Section 3 we discuss the generalization of the results to the case of arbitrary initial conditions.

The main goal of this paper is to study the asymptotics of the first passage times of the process x^ε in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. More precisely, for some level $a > 0$ we study the law of the stopping times

$$\tau_a(x^\varepsilon) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : x_t^\varepsilon > a\}. \quad (2.11)$$

The asymptotics of the first passage time can be determined especially simply in the case of an integrated OU process driven by a standard Brownian motion $l = b$, that is a 2-stable continuous Lévy process with the characteristic exponent $\Psi(u) = -u^2/2$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consider the space $C([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ of real-valued continuous functions and equip it with a uniform metric

$$\begin{aligned} d_U(x, x') &:= \int_0^\infty e^{-T} (1 \wedge d_{U,T}(x, x')) dT, \\ d_{U,T}(x, x') &:= \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |x_t - x'_t|, \quad x, x' \in C([0, \infty)), \quad T > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

to obtain a separable metric space. A sequence of continuous stochastic processes x^n , $n \geq 1$, converges to a stochastic process x weakly in the metric space $C([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}; d_U)$ if and only if x^n converges to x weakly, $x^n \Rightarrow x$, in the metric space $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}; d_{U,T})$ for any $T > 0$.

The following result is well known and is presented here for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 2.1 *Let $l = b = (b_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a standard Brownian motion, and let x^ε be the integrated OU process satisfying the equations (2.6) and (2.7) with zero initial conditions. Then*

$$(Ax_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}}^\varepsilon)_{t \geq 0} \Rightarrow (b_t)_{t \geq 0} \text{ in } C([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}; d_U). \quad (2.13)$$

Proof: According to (2.10) The process Ax^ε is found explicitly as

$$Ax_t^\varepsilon = \varepsilon \int_0^t (1 - e^{-A(t-s)}) db_s. \quad (2.14)$$

Applying the time change $t \mapsto \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}$ and using the self-similarity of a Brownian motion, $\text{Law}(\varepsilon^{-1}b_{t/\varepsilon^2}, t \geq 0) = \text{Law}(b_t, t \geq 0)$, we obtain that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ the law of the process $(Ax_{t/\varepsilon^2}^\varepsilon)_{t \geq 0}$ coincides with the law of a process AX^ε

$$AX_t^\varepsilon = \int_0^t (1 - e^{-\frac{A}{\varepsilon^2}(t-s)}) dB_s, \quad (2.15)$$

B being a standard Brownian motion. The weak convergence of $(Ax_{t/\varepsilon^2}^\varepsilon)_{t \geq 0} \Rightarrow b$ will follow from the convergence in probability $d_U(AX^\varepsilon, B) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}} 0$. Let $T > 0$ and $\Delta > 0$ be arbitrary and fixed. We show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{P} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |AX_t^\varepsilon - B_t| > \Delta \right) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{P} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^t e^{-\frac{A}{\varepsilon^2}(t-s)} dB_s \right| > \Delta \right) = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

The integral w.r.t. B in the last formula is an OU process driven by B with a friction parameter $\frac{A}{\varepsilon^2}$. Once more, with the help of the time change $t \mapsto \frac{A}{\varepsilon^2}t$ we get that

$$\mathbf{P} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^t e^{-\frac{A}{\varepsilon^2}(t-s)} dB_s \right| > \Delta \right) = \mathbf{P} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, \frac{A}{\varepsilon^2}T]} |U_t^\varepsilon| > \Delta \right). \quad (2.17)$$

where U^ε is an Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process with small noise satisfying the SDE

$$dU_t^\varepsilon = -U_t^\varepsilon dt + \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{A}} dB_t, \quad U_0^\varepsilon = 0. \quad (2.18)$$

Due to the Freidlin–Wentzell theory (see *e.g.* Theorem in 5.7.11 (a) in Dembo and Zeitouni [11]) the first exit time $\sigma_\Delta(U^\varepsilon)$ of U^ε from the interval $[-\Delta, \Delta]$ satisfies for any $\delta > 0$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{P} \left(e^{\frac{A\Delta^2 - \delta}{\varepsilon^2}} < \sigma_\Delta(U^\varepsilon) < e^{\frac{A\Delta^2 + \delta}{\varepsilon^2}} \right) = 1. \quad (2.19)$$

Choosing $\delta \in (0, A\Delta^2)$ and taking into account that $e^{\frac{A\Delta^2 - \delta}{\varepsilon^2}} > \frac{A}{\varepsilon^2}T$ for ε small enough we obtain that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{P} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, \frac{A}{\varepsilon^2}T]} |U_t^\varepsilon| > \Delta \right) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left(\sigma_\Delta(U^\varepsilon) \leq \frac{A}{\varepsilon^2}T \right) = 0. \quad (2.20)$$

■

It is clear that the law of the first passage time $\tau_a(x^\varepsilon)$ is determined with the help of the running supremum of the process x^ε ,

$$S_t^\varepsilon := \sup_{s \in [0, t]} x_s^\varepsilon, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2.21)$$

namely $\mathbf{P}(\tau_a \leq t) = \mathbf{P}(S_t^\varepsilon \geq a)$. Since the running supremum of a continuous process is a continuous mapping in $C([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}; d_U)$ we obtain the convergence in law of the first passage times.

Corollary 2.1 *For any $a > 0$*

$$\varepsilon^2 \tau_a(x^\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{d} \tau_{\frac{a}{A}}(b) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.22)$$

The probability density of the first passage time $\tau_{\frac{a}{A}}(b)$ is well known,

$$\mathbf{P}(\tau_{\frac{a}{A}}(b) \leq t) = \frac{a}{A\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^t \frac{1}{s^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{a^2}{2A^2s}} ds. \quad (2.23)$$

If the driving Lévy process $l = l^{(\alpha)}$ is α -stable and non-Gaussian, the situation becomes more complicated. Consider the space $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ of real valued càdlàg functions. We shall see in Proposition 3.1 that Ax^ε converges to $l^{(\alpha)}$ in the sense of finite-dimensional distributions whereas the solution of the Langevin equation (2.6) v^ε is a càdlàg process, and the integrated OU process x^ε is absolutely continuous. Thus no weak convergence in the metric space $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}; d_U)$ is possible.

In his seminal paper [30], Skorohod introduced four weaker metrics on the space $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ different from the uniform metric d_U . The most frequently used topology J_1 is designed to match the jump times and sizes of the processes x^n and the limit x does not fit our setting. Thus we shall prove convergence in a weaker M_1 -topology, which is still strong enough to guarantee the continuity of the supremum, and thus the convergence of the first passage times. The M_1 -topology is not used very often. Besides the paper by [30] by Skorohod, further theory and applications of M_1 -convergence can be found in Avram and Taqqu [2, 3], Puhalskii and Whitt [26], Whitt [35], Pang and Whitt [23], Louhichi and Rio [21], Basrak *et al* [6] and in references therein.

First we recall the necessary facts about the space $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ with a non-uniform topology M_1 . For any $T > 0$ consider the space $D([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ of real-valued càdlàg functions defined on $[0, T]$. For any function $x \in D([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ define a *completed graph* Γ_x as a set

$$\Gamma_x := \{(x_0, 0)\} \cup \{(z, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, T] : z = cx_{t-} + (1-c)x_t \text{ for some } c, c \in [0, 1]\}. \quad (2.24)$$

The completed graph is a subset in $\mathbb{R}^2$ containing the graph of x as well as the line segments connecting the points of discontinuity (x_{t-}, t) and (x_t, t) .

On a completed graph we introduce an order saying that $(z, t) \leq (z', t')$ if either $t < t'$ or $t = t'$ and $|x_{t-} - z| \leq |x_{t-} - z'|$. A parametric representation of the graph is a continuous mapping $(z_u, t_u) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Gamma_x$, which in nondecreasing w.r.t. order on the completed graph. Denoting Π_x the set of all parametric representations of the graph Γ_x , we define the Skorokhod M_1 metric on $D([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ by

$$d_{M_1, T}(x, x') := \inf_{\substack{(z, t) \in \Pi_x, \\ (z', t') \in \Pi_{x'}}} \max_{u \in [0, 1]} \{|z_u - z'_u|, |t_u - t'_u|\}, \quad (2.25)$$

and the metric on $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ by

$$d_{M_1}(x, x') := \int_0^\infty e^{-T} \left(1 \wedge d_{M_1, T}(x, x')\right) dT, \quad (2.26)$$

see Whitt [35, Sections 3.3, 12.3 and 12.9]. The space $D([0, T], \mathbb{R}; d_{M_1})$ is Polish. A sequence of càdlàg stochastic processes x^n , $n \geq 1$, converges to a stochastic process x weakly in the metric space $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}; d_{M_1})$ if and only if the restrictions of x^n on $[0, T]$ converge to a restriction of x weakly in the metric space $D([0, T], \mathbb{R}; d_{M_1, T})$ for all $T > 0$ being the points of continuity of x , see Whitt [35, Theorem 12.9.3].

The main result of this paper is the following convergence result.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $l^{(\alpha)} = (l_t^{(\alpha)})_{t \geq 0}$ be an α -stable Lévy process, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and let x^ε be the integrated OU process satisfying the equations (2.6) and (2.7) with zero initial conditions. Then*

$$\left(Ax_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon^\alpha}}^\varepsilon\right)_{t \geq 0} \Rightarrow (l_t^{(\alpha)})_{t \geq 0} \quad \text{in } D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}; d_{M_1}) \quad (2.27)$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

The convergence of the first passage times follows immediately. Let $l^{(\alpha)}$ be an α -stable process with $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} l_t^{(\alpha)} = +\infty$ a.s. For any $a > 0$ consider the first passage time

$$\tau_a(x^\varepsilon) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : x_t^\varepsilon > a\}. \quad (2.28)$$

Corollary 2.2 *Let $l^{(\alpha)}$ be an α -stable process with $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} l_t^{(\alpha)} = +\infty$ a.s. Then for any $a > 0$*

$$\varepsilon^\alpha \tau_a(x^\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{d} \tau_{\frac{a}{\alpha}}(l^{(\alpha)}) \quad (2.29)$$

Proof: As in Corollary 2.1 we define the first exit time with the help of the running supremum $S_t^\varepsilon := \sup_{s \in [0, t]} x_s^\varepsilon$, $t \geq 0$, and the inverse function $I_t^\varepsilon := \inf\{s \geq 0 : S_s^\varepsilon > t\}$, $t \geq 0$. Under condition $x_0 = v_0 = 0$, the inverse function is continuous in the M_1 -topology (Puhalskii and Whitt [26], Lemma 2.1), and the continuous mapping theorem yields the result. ■

Opposite to the Brownian case, the laws of the first passage times $\tau_a(l^{(\alpha)})$ of an α -stable Lévy process are often not known explicitly. We refer the reader to the works by Gettoor [14], Blumenthal *et al.* [8], Port [25], Bertoin [7], Rogers [27], Chechkin *et al.* [10], Joulin [18], Peskir [24], Doney and Savov [12], Simon [29], and references therein for various results on this topic.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Since the α -stable case will be studied with the help of an appropriate time change, which transfers the small noise amplitude to the big friction parameter of the OU processes, we will study the M_1 -convergence of continuous integrated OU processes to the driving Lévy process. These results can be of its own interest. Finally we prove the Theorem 2.1 and discuss the case of arbitrary initial conditions.

3 Absolutely continuous approximations of Lévy processes in M_1 topology and the proof of the main result

Let L be a real valued Lévy process with a characteristic exponent

$$\Psi(u) = -\frac{\sigma^2 u}{2} + i\mu + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} \left(e^{iuy} - 1 - iuy \mathbb{I}_{\{|y| \leq 1\}} \right) \nu(dy) \quad (3.1)$$

with $\sigma \geq 0$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and ν being a jump measure satisfying $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} (y^2 \wedge 1) \nu(dy) < \infty$. For $A > 0$ and $\gamma \geq 0$ we study the system of stochastic differential equations

$$V_t^\gamma = -\gamma A \int_0^t V_s^\gamma ds + L_t, \quad (3.2)$$

$$X_t^\gamma = \gamma \int_0^t V_s^\gamma ds. \quad (3.3)$$

We prove the following approximation result.

Theorem 3.1

$$AX^\gamma \xrightarrow{P} L \text{ in } D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}; d_{M_1}) \text{ as } \gamma \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.4)$$

The proof of this statement as always consists of two parts. We shall prove the convergence of finite dimensional distributions of AX^γ to L in probability as well as the tightness of the probability laws of the processes $\{AX^\gamma\}_{\gamma \geq 0}$.

We start with a proof of convergence of finite dimensional distributions of AX^γ .

Proposition 3.1 *For any $m \geq 1$ and $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_m < \infty$*

$$(AX_{t_1}^\gamma, \dots, AX_{t_m}^\gamma) \xrightarrow{P} (L_{t_1}, \dots, L_{t_m}), \quad \gamma \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof: With the help of the formulae (2.8) and (2.10) solve the Langevin equation (3.2) and (3.3) explicitly:

$$V_t^\gamma = \int_0^t e^{-\gamma A(t-s)} dL_s, \quad AX_t^\gamma = \int_0^t \left(1 - e^{-\gamma A(t-s)} \right) dL_s. \quad (3.6)$$

It is clear that the processes AX^γ and V^γ start at the origin, $AX_0^\gamma = V_0^\gamma = L_0 = 0$.

For $m \geq 1$ fix the time instants $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m < \infty$ and real numbers $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_m$ and consider the characteristic function

$$\mathbf{E} \exp \left(i \sum_{k=0}^m u_k (AX_{t_k}^\gamma - L_{t_k}) \right) = \mathbf{E} \exp \left(-i \sum_{k=1}^m u_k \int_0^{t_k} e^{-\gamma A(t_k-s)} dL_s \right). \quad (3.7)$$

We represent the sum in the last exponent as a sum of independent random variables

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^m u_k (AX_{t_k}^\gamma - L_{t_k}) \\ = - \sum_{k=1}^m u_k \int_0^{t_1} e^{-\gamma A(t_k-s)} dL_s - \sum_{k=2}^m u_k \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-\gamma A(t_k-s)} dL_s - \dots - u_m \int_{t_{m-1}}^{t_m} e^{-\gamma A(t_m-s)} dL_s. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

and show that the characteristic function of every summand converges to 1 as $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$. Fix an index j , $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then by a well-known formula for characteristic functions of integrals of deterministic functions w.r.t. a Lévy process we obtain

$$\ln \mathbf{E} \exp \left(-i \sum_{k=j}^m u_k \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} e^{-\gamma A(t_k-s)} dL_s \right) = \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \Psi \left(- \sum_{k=j}^m u_k e^{-\gamma A(t_k-s)} \right) ds \quad (3.9)$$

For brevity we denote the argument

$$u_j^\gamma(s) := - \sum_{k=j}^m u_k e^{-\gamma A(t_k-s)}, \quad t_{j-1} \leq s \leq t_j, \quad (3.10)$$

and $u^* = \sum_{k=1}^m |u_k| < \infty$. Since $0 \leq e^{-\gamma A(t-s)} \leq 1$, $0 \leq s \leq t$, $|u_j^\gamma(s)| \leq \sum_{k=j}^m |u_k| \leq u^*$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. The exponent $\Psi(u)$ is continuous and bounded on $[-u^*, u^*]$. On each of the intervals $[t_{j-1}, t_j]$, $1 \leq j \leq m$ we determine the pointwise limit of $\Psi(u_j^\gamma(s))$ as $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$, namely

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(u_j^\gamma(s)) = \Psi(0) = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

and pass to the limit with help of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem:

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \Psi(u_j^\gamma(s)) ds = \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \Psi(0) ds = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

This means, that

$$(AX_0^\gamma, AX_{t_1}^\gamma, \dots, AX_{t_m}^\gamma) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}} (L_0, L_{t_1}, \dots, L_{t_m}), \quad \gamma \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.13)$$

■

Having proven the convergence of finite dimensional distributions it is left to prove the tightness of the family of probability laws of processes AX^γ , $\gamma \geq 0$ in the space $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}; d_{M_1})$.

First with the help of the Lévy-Itô decomposition we represent L as a sum of a continuous Brownian motion σB and a purely jump Lévy process with constant drift $Z = L - \sigma B$ with constant drift, $L = \sigma B + Z$.

Due to the linearity of the Langevin equation we represent the solution V^γ as a sum

$$V_t^\gamma = \sigma \int_0^t e^{-\gamma A(t-s)} dB_s + \int_0^t e^{-\gamma A(t-s)} dZ_s, \quad (3.14)$$

and consequently the process AX^γ as a sum of continuous processes

$$AX_t^\gamma = AX_t^{\gamma, B} + AX_t^{\gamma, Z} := \sigma \int_0^t (1 - e^{-\gamma A(t-s)}) dB_s + \int_0^t (1 - e^{-\gamma A(t-s)}) dZ_s \quad (3.15)$$

To prove the M_1 -convergence of X^γ against L we use the M_1 -continuity of the addition. Indeed, we show that the first summand converges to σB whereas the second summand converges to Z . Since σB and

Z have no common discontinuities, due to the Corollary 12.7.1 in Whitt [35] it is sufficient to prove the M_1 -convergence of the process for each of the summands separately. Moreover, since the limiting process B is continuous, the M_1 convergence coincides with the convergence in the supremum norm.

A convenient tightness condition can be formulated with the help of the appropriate oscillation function. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ denote the segment $\llbracket x, y \rrbracket := \{z \in \mathbb{R} : z = x + c(y - x), c \in [0, 1]\}$. For the convergence in $d_{M_1, T}$ metric we introduce the corresponding oscillation function $M : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$,

$$M(x_1, x, x_2) := \begin{cases} \min\{|x - x_1|, |x_2 - x|\}, & \text{if } x \notin \llbracket x_1, x_2 \rrbracket, \\ 0, & x \in \llbracket x_1, x_2 \rrbracket. \end{cases} \quad (3.16)$$

In other words, $M(x_1, x, x_2)$ is the euclidean distance between the point x and the segment $\llbracket x_1, x_2 \rrbracket$.

Now we can describe the tightness of $\{AX^{\gamma, Z}\}_{\gamma \geq 0}$ in $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}; d_{M_1})$.

Proposition 3.2 1. For every $T > 0$ and $K > 0$

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\gamma > 0} \mathbf{P} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |AX_t^{\gamma, Z}| > K \right) = 0 \quad (3.17)$$

2. For every $T > 0$ and $\Delta > 0$

$$\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \limsup_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left(\sup_{\substack{0 \leq t_1 < t < t_2 \leq T, \\ t_2 - t_1 \leq \delta}} M(AX_{t_1}^{\gamma, Z}, AX_t^{\gamma, Z}, AX_{t_2}^{\gamma, Z}) > \Delta \right) = 0, \quad (3.18)$$

that is the sequence $\{AX^{\gamma, Z}\}_{\gamma \geq 0}$ of continuous stochastic processes is tight in $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}; d_{M_1})$.

Proof: The conditions 1 and 2 of the Proposition are indeed the conditions for tightness, see the original paper by Skorokhod [30] or Chapter 12 in Whitt [35]. Without loss of generality we assume that $A = 1$. Let $T > 0$ be fixed.

1. We use the integration by parts (compare with (2.9)) to obtain

$$X_t^{\gamma, Z} = Z_t - \int_0^t e^{-\gamma(t-s)} Z_s ds. \quad (3.19)$$

Thus for any $\gamma \geq 0$ we obtain the estimate

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\gamma, Z}| \leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Z_t| + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Z_t| \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t e^{-\gamma(t-s)} ds \leq (T + 1) \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Z_t|, \quad (3.20)$$

so that the condition (3.17) holds true.

2. We prove the estimate (3.18). Let $\Delta \in (0, 1]$ be fixed. We show that for any $\theta > 0$ there is $\delta_0 = \delta_0(\Delta, \theta, T)$ such that for any $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$ there is $\gamma_0 = \gamma_0(\delta, \Delta, \theta, T)$ such that for all $\gamma > \gamma_0$ the inequality

$$\mathbf{P} \left(\sup_{\substack{0 \leq t_1 < t < t_2 \leq T, \\ t_2 - t_1 \leq \delta}} M(X_{t_1}^{\gamma, Z}, X_t^{\gamma, Z}, X_{t_2}^{\gamma, Z}) > \Delta \right) \leq \theta \quad (3.21)$$

holds true. The proof will consist of three steps.

Step 1. Reduction to a compound Poisson process with drift. First, we decompose Z into a sum of a martingale with bounded jumps and small variance and a compound Poisson process with drift.

Let $a = a(\Delta, \theta, T) \in (0, 1]$ be such that $\nu(\{a\}) = \nu(\{-a\}) = 0$ and

$$\frac{4T}{\Delta} \int_{\{|y| < a\}} y^2 \nu(dy) \leq \frac{\theta}{4}. \quad (3.22)$$

For this a , denote

$$\mu_a := \mu - \int_{a \leq |y| \leq 1} y \nu(dy) \quad (3.23)$$

and consider the processes

$$\eta_t := \sum_{s \leq t} \Delta Z_s \mathbb{I}(|\Delta Z_s| \geq a) + \mu_a t \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_t := Z_t - \eta_t. \quad (3.24)$$

The processes ξ and η are stochastically independent Lévy processes with the Lévy–Khintchine representations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} e^{iu\xi_1} &= \exp \left(- \int_{\{|y| < a\}} (e^{iuy} - 1 - iuy) \nu(dy) \right), \\ \mathbf{E} e^{iu\eta_1} &= \exp \left(- \int_{\{|y| \geq a\}} (e^{iuy} - 1) \nu(dy) \right) + i\mu_a. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Moreover, $Z = \xi + \eta$, η is a compound Poisson process with drift and ξ is a zero mean martingale with the variance $\mathbf{E} \xi_t^2 = t \int_{\{|y| < a\}} y^2 \nu(dy)$. Due to the linearity of equations (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain the representation

$$X^{\gamma, Z} = X^{\gamma, \xi} + X^{\gamma, \eta} \quad (3.26)$$

with

$$X_t^{\gamma, \xi} = \int_0^t (1 - e^{-\gamma(t-s)}) d\xi_s, \quad X_t^{\gamma, \eta} = \int_0^t (1 - e^{-\gamma(t-s)}) d\eta_s. \quad (3.27)$$

Denote the event

$$E_\xi := \left\{ \omega : \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\gamma, \xi}| < \frac{\Delta}{4} \right\}. \quad (3.28)$$

With the help of the Doob inequality applied to the martingale $X^{\gamma, \xi}$ we obtain for all $\gamma > 0$

$$\mathbf{P}(E_\xi^c) \leq \frac{4\mathbf{E}|X_T^{\gamma, \xi}|^2}{\Delta} \leq \frac{4}{\Delta} \int_{\{|y| < a\}} y^2 \nu(dy) \cdot \int_0^T (1 - e^{-\gamma(T-s)})^2 ds \leq \frac{\theta}{4}. \quad (3.29)$$

Thus for all $\omega \in E_\xi$ and for all $\gamma \geq 0$ the inequality

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\gamma, Z} - X_t^{\gamma, \eta}| \leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\gamma, \xi}| < \frac{\Delta}{4} \quad (3.30)$$

holds true which implies that for all $\gamma \geq 0$ and $0 \leq t_1 < t < t_2 \leq T$

$$\left| M(X_{t_1}^{\gamma, Z}, X_t^{\gamma, Z}, X_{t_2}^{\gamma, Z}) - M(X_{t_1}^{\gamma, \eta}, X_t^{\gamma, \eta}, X_{t_2}^{\gamma, \eta}) \right| \leq \frac{\Delta}{2}. \quad (3.31)$$

Step 2. Local extrema of $X^{\gamma, \eta}$

There exists a level $z = z(a, \theta, T) > 0$ such that for the event

$$E_\eta := \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\eta_t| \leq \frac{z}{2} \right\} \quad (3.32)$$

the inequality

$$\mathbf{P}(E_\eta^c) \leq \frac{\theta}{4} \quad (3.33)$$

holds. In particular this implies that for $\omega \in E_\eta$ the jump sizes of η do not exceed z in absolute value, that is $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\Delta \eta_t(\omega)| \leq z$. The process η has the finite jump intensity

$$\beta_a = \int_{|y| \geq a} \nu(dy) < \infty. \quad (3.34)$$

For the Lévy process η consider its counting jump process $N = (N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ which is a Poisson process with intensity β_a . Denote by $\{\tau_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ the sequence arrival times of η , $\tau_0 = 0$, and by $\{J_k\}_{k \geq 0}$, $J_0 = 0$, the

sequence of its jump sizes, $J_k := \eta_{\tau_k} - \eta_{\tau_{k-}}$. It is easy to see that the process $X^{\gamma, \eta}$ has the following pathwise representation:

$$X_t^{\gamma, \eta} = \sum_{k=0}^{N_t} J_k \left(1 - e^{-\gamma(t-\tau_k)} \right) + \mu_a \left(t - \frac{1 - e^{-\gamma t}}{\gamma} \right), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (3.35)$$

We choose $m^* \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\mathbf{P}(N_T \leq m^*) > 1 - \frac{\theta}{4}. \quad (3.36)$$

Further, for $\kappa > 0$ and $m = 0, \dots, m^*$ consider the events

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &:= \{\omega : N_T = 0\}, \\ C_m &:= \{\omega : N_T = m\} \cap \{\omega : \tau_k - \tau_{k-1} \geq 2\kappa \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, m, \text{ and } T - \tau_m \geq 2\kappa\}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq m^*, \\ C &:= \bigsqcup_{m=0}^{m^*} C_m \subset \{N_T \leq m^*\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

It is well known (see *e.g.* Proposition 3.4 in Sato [28]) that under condition $\{N_T = m\}$, the jump times $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m$ are distributed on the interval $[0, T]$ with the probability law of the order statistics obtained from m samples of the uniform distribution on $[0, T]$. Thus we are able to choose $\kappa_0 = \kappa_0(\theta, \Delta, T, m^*) > 0$ small enough, such that

$$\mathbf{P}(C) > 1 - \frac{\theta}{3}. \quad (3.38)$$

For a fixed $m = 0, \dots, m^*$ consider $\omega \in E_\eta \cap C_m$. It is easy to see from the representation (3.35) that

$$X_t^{\gamma, \eta}(\omega) = \begin{cases} \mu_a \left(t - \frac{1 - e^{-\gamma t}}{\gamma} \right), & t \in [0, \tau_1(\omega)), \\ \sum_{j=1}^k J_j(\omega) \left(1 - e^{-\gamma(t-\tau_j(\omega))} \right) + \mu_a \left(t - \frac{1 - e^{-\gamma t}}{\gamma} \right), & t \in [\tau_{k-1}(\omega), \tau_k(\omega)), \quad k = 2, \dots, m \\ \sum_{k=1}^m J_k(\omega) \left(1 - e^{-\gamma(t-\tau_k(\omega))} \right) + \mu_a \left(t - \frac{1 - e^{-\gamma t}}{\gamma} \right), & t \in [\tau_m(\omega), T]. \end{cases} \quad (3.39)$$

The process $X^{\gamma, \eta}$ has smooth paths on the intervals $[\tau_{k-1}, \tau_k]$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, and $[\tau_m, T]$. We show that for γ large enough the paths of $X^{\gamma, \eta}$ are either monotone on these intervals, or have at most one local extremum on each of the intervals. Indeed, $X^{\gamma, \eta}$ is obviously monotone on $t \in [0, \tau_1 \wedge T]$.

Let now $1 \leq m \leq m^*$. For $t \in (\tau_k, \tau_{k+1})$, $k = 1, \dots, m-1$, and for $t \in (\tau_m, T)$ consider the derivative of $X^{\gamma, \eta}$ w.r.t. t :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} X_t^{\gamma, \eta} &= -\gamma \sum_{j=1}^k J_j e^{-\gamma(t-\tau_j)} + \mu_a(1 - e^{-\gamma t}) \\ &= -\gamma \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} J_j e^{-\gamma(t-\tau_j)} - \gamma J_k e^{-\gamma(t-\tau_k)} + \mu_a(1 - e^{-\gamma t}) \\ &= -\gamma J_k e^{-\gamma(t-\tau_k)} \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{J_j}{J_k} e^{-\gamma(\tau_k-\tau_j)} \right) + \mu_a(1 - e^{-\gamma t}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

Taking into account that the jump sizes J_k are bounded, $|J_k(\omega)| \in [a, z]$ and the arrival times are separated by $2\kappa_0$, $\tau_k - \tau_j \geq 2(k-j)\kappa_0$, $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, and $T - \tau_m \geq 2\kappa_0$ we can choose a non-random $\gamma_m = \gamma_m(a, z, \kappa_0, m)$ such that for $\gamma \geq \gamma_m$ the equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} X_t^{\gamma, \eta} = -\gamma J_k e^{-\gamma(t-\tau_k)} \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{J_j}{J_k} e^{-\gamma(\tau_k-\tau_j)} \right) + \mu_a(1 - e^{-\gamma t}) = 0 \quad (3.41)$$

has at most one solution on each of the intervals (τ_k, τ_{k+1}) , $k = 1, \dots, m-1$ and on (τ_m, T) . This unique solution (the local extremum of $X^{\gamma, \eta}$) exists if and only if $\mu_a \neq 0$ and $\frac{J_k}{\mu_a} > 0$, and is located at

$$t_k^* = t_k^*(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \ln \left(1 + \gamma e^{\gamma \tau_k} \frac{J_k}{\mu_a} \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} J_j e^{-\gamma(\tau_k - \tau_j)} \right) \right) \approx \tau_k + \frac{1}{\gamma} \ln \left(\gamma \frac{J_k}{\mu_a} \right), \quad 1 \leq k \leq m. \quad (3.42)$$

Moreover, we can choose γ_m big enough such that for $\gamma \geq \gamma_m$ we have $\tau_k < t_k^* \leq \tau_k + \kappa_0$ for all $k = 1, \dots, m$. Furthermore we choose γ_m big enough such that for $\gamma \geq \gamma_m$

$$\max_{t \in [\tau_k + \kappa_0, \tau_{k+1}]} \left| \frac{d}{dt} X_t^{\gamma, \eta} - \mu_a \right| \leq \frac{\Delta}{4}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1, \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{t \in [\tau_m + \kappa_0, T]} \left| \frac{d}{dt} X_t^{\gamma, \eta} - \mu_a \right| \leq \frac{\Delta}{4}. \quad (3.43)$$

Additionally for $\mu_a \neq 0$ we can assume that for $\gamma \geq \gamma_m$

$$\max_{t \in [t_k^*, \tau_{k+1}]} \left| \frac{d}{dt} X_t^{\gamma, \eta} \right| \leq 2|\mu_a| \text{ if } \frac{J_k}{\mu_a} > 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1, \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{t \in [t_m^*, T]} \left| \frac{d}{dt} X_t^{\gamma, \eta} \right| \leq 2|\mu_a| \text{ if } \frac{J_m}{\mu_a} > 0. \quad (3.44)$$

Overall, for $\gamma \geq \gamma_m$ and for $\omega \in E_\eta \cap C_m$ the paths of $X^{\gamma, \eta}$ have the following structure: they are continuous on $[0, T]$, smooth on (τ_k, τ_{k+1}) , $k = 0, \dots, m$, and (τ_m, T) and may have extrema either at arrival times τ_k , $k = 1, \dots, m$, or at time instants t_k^* given by (3.42) provided $\frac{J_k}{\mu_a} > 0$. The slope of $X^{\gamma, \eta}$ is close to μ_a on the left-hand-neighborhoods of the arrival times τ_k , $k = 1, \dots, m$, and T . The derivative of $X^{\gamma, \eta}$ is bounded by a constant, say $2|\mu_a|$, in the right-hand-neighborhoods of the local extrema t_k^* . Let $\gamma^* := \bigvee_{m=1}^m \gamma_m$.

Step 3. Estimate of the oscillation function M

Let $\delta_0 \in (0, \kappa_0 \wedge \frac{\Delta}{8(|\mu_a|+1)})$, $\gamma \geq \gamma^*$ and let $\omega \in E_\eta \cap C_m$ for some $m = 0, \dots, m^*$.

We estimate the value of the oscillation function $M = M(X_{t_1}^{\gamma, \eta}, X_t^{\gamma, \eta}, X_{t_2}^{\gamma, \eta})$ for $0 \leq t_1 < t < t_2 \leq T$ and $t_2 - t_1 \leq \delta \leq \delta_0$. Let us consider three cases.

1. If the path of $t \mapsto X_t^{\gamma, \eta}(\omega)$ is monotone on $[t_1, t_2]$ then $M = 0$.
2. Let $\tau_k \in [t_1, t_2]$ for some $k = 1, \dots, m$, and let τ_k be a local extremum. In this case the maximal value of M over $t \in [t_1, t_2]$ can be attained if and only if the middle point t coincides with τ_k . In this case,

$$M \leq \min\{|X_{\tau_k}^{\gamma, \eta} - X_{t_1}^{\gamma, \eta}|, |X_{\tau_k}^{\gamma, \eta} - X_{t_2}^{\gamma, \eta}|\} \leq |X_{\tau_k}^{\gamma, \eta} - X_{t_1}^{\gamma, \eta}| \leq |X_{\tau_k}^{\gamma, \eta} - X_{\tau_k - \delta_0}^{\gamma, \eta}| \quad (3.45)$$

Then due to (3.43)

$$M \leq (|\mu_a| + \frac{\Delta}{4})\delta_0 \leq \frac{\Delta}{4}. \quad (3.46)$$

3. Let $t \mapsto X_t^{\gamma, \eta}$ be nonmonotone in $[t_1, t_2]$ and a local extremum t_k^* exist and belong to $[t_1, t_2]$ for some $k = 1, \dots, m$. Then we estimate with the help of (3.44) that

$$\begin{aligned} M &\leq \min\{|X_{t_k^*}^{\gamma, \eta} - X_{t_1}^{\gamma, \eta}|, |X_{t_k^*}^{\gamma, \eta} - X_{t_2}^{\gamma, \eta}|\} \leq |X_{t_k^*}^{\gamma, \eta} - X_{t_2}^{\gamma, \eta}| \leq |X_{t_k^*}^{\gamma, \eta} - X_{t_k^* + \delta_0}^{\gamma, \eta}| \\ &\leq 2|\mu_a|\delta_0 \leq \frac{\Delta}{4}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

Overall, these estimates mean, that for all $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$ and $\gamma \geq \gamma^*$

$$\mathbf{P} \left(\sup_{\substack{0 \leq t_1 < t < t_2 \leq T, \\ t_2 - t_1 \leq \delta}} M(X_{t_1}^{\gamma, \eta}, X_t^{\gamma, \eta}, X_{t_2}^{\gamma, \eta}) > \frac{\Delta}{2} \middle| E_\eta \cap C \right) = 0. \quad (3.48)$$

which implies the statement of the lemma:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P} \left(\sup_{\substack{0 \leq t_1 < t < t_2 \leq T, \\ t_2 - t_1 \leq \delta}} M(X_{t_1}^{\gamma, Z}, X_t^{\gamma, Z}, X_{t_2}^{\gamma, Z}) > \Delta \right) &\leq \mathbf{P} \left(\sup_{\substack{0 \leq t_1 < t < t_2 \leq T, \\ t_2 - t_1 \leq \delta}} M(X_{t_1}^{\gamma, \eta}, X_t^{\gamma, \eta}, X_{t_2}^{\gamma, \eta}) > \frac{\Delta}{2} \right) + \mathbf{P}(E_\xi^c) \\ &\leq \mathbf{P} \left(\sup_{\substack{0 \leq t_1 < t < t_2 \leq T, \\ t_2 - t_1 \leq \delta}} M(X_{t_1}^{\gamma, \eta}, X_t^{\gamma, \eta}, X_{t_2}^{\gamma, \eta}) > \frac{\Delta}{2}, E_\eta \cap C \right) + \mathbf{P}(E_\eta^c) + \mathbf{P}(C^c) + \mathbf{P}(E_\xi^c) \\ &\leq \frac{\theta}{4} + \frac{\theta}{4} + \frac{\theta}{3} < \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.49)$$

■

Proof: (Theorem 3.1) For simplicity we set $A = 1$. We decompose the Lévy process L into the sum of a Brownian motion σB and an independent jump process with drift Z . Due to the linearity, the integrated Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process X^γ is also decomposed into the sum of two independent processes $X^\gamma = X^{\gamma,B} + X^{\gamma,Z}$. The Proposition 2.1 implies that $X^{\gamma,B}$ converges to σB in probability in the uniform metric d_U (see (2.15) with $\gamma = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}$), and consequently in d_{M_1} . The process $X^{\gamma,Z}$ converges to Z in d_{M_1} -metric due to the Propositions 3.1 and 3.2. Since σB and Z has no common discontinuities, the sum $X^\gamma = X^{\gamma,B} + X^{\gamma,Z}$ also converges in d_{M_1} -metric to $L = \sigma B + Z$ due to Corollary 12.7.1 in [35]. ■

Proof: (Theorem 2.1) Consider the equations (2.6) and (2.7) with zero initial conditions driven by an α -stable Lévy process $l^{(\alpha)}$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. Applying the time change $t \mapsto \frac{t}{\varepsilon^\alpha}$ and using the self-similarity of a $l^{(\alpha)}$, namely that $\text{Law}(\varepsilon^{-1/\alpha} l_{t/\varepsilon^\alpha}^{(\alpha)}, t \geq 0) = \text{Law}(l_t^{(\alpha)}, t \geq 0)$, we obtain that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ the law of the processes $(v_{t/\varepsilon^\alpha}^\varepsilon)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(x_{t/\varepsilon^\alpha}^\varepsilon)_{t \geq 0}$ coincides with the law of the processes V^γ and X^γ which solve the stochastic differential equations (3.2) and (3.3) with $\gamma = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^\alpha}$ and a Lévy process L with $\text{Law}(L) = \text{Law}(l^{(\alpha)})$. Then the statement of Theorem 2.1 follows from Theorem 3.1. ■

Let us discuss the weak convergence of integrated OU processes driven by an α -stable Lévy process of small intensity for arbitrary initial conditions.

We start with the generalization of the Theorem 3.1. Consider the system of stochastic differential equations driven by an arbitrary Lévy process L

$$\begin{aligned} V^\gamma &= v_0 - \gamma A \int_0^t V_s \, ds + L_t, \\ X^\gamma &= x_0 + \gamma \int_0^t V_s \, ds, \end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

γ being a big parameter γ , and the initial conditions v_0, x_0 being arbitrary. The explicit solutions are given by the formulae

$$V_t^\gamma = v_0 e^{-\gamma A t} + \frac{1}{A} \int_0^t e^{-\gamma A(t-s)} \, dL_s \tag{3.51}$$

and

$$AX_t^\gamma = Ax_0 + v_0(1 - e^{-\gamma A t}) + \int_0^t (1 - e^{-\gamma A(t-s)}) \, dL_s. \tag{3.52}$$

It follows immediately from Theorem 3.1 that for $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v_0 = 0$ the processes $(A(X_t^\gamma - x_0))_{t \geq 0}$ converge in probability to L in $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}; d_{M_1})$.

The situation becomes a little more complicated for $v_0 \neq 0$. The continuous second summand on the right-hand-side of (3.52) does not converge uniformly on the intervals $[0, T]$, $T > 0$, yet has a discontinuous pointwise limit

$$v_0(1 - e^{-\gamma A t}) \rightarrow v_0 \mathbb{I}_{(0, \infty)}(t), \quad t \geq 0. \tag{3.53}$$

Thus for $v_0 \neq 0$ the limiting process $(L_t - v_0 \mathbb{I}_{\{0\}}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is discontinuous in probability at the origin and the convergence in probability of finite dimensional distributions of the process $A(X^\gamma - x_0) - v_0$ to those of L holds only on the set $(0, \infty)$. The M_1 -convergence to L still holds on all intervals $[\delta, T]$, $0 < \delta < T$, and we obtain the following result: For arbitrary $x_0, v_0 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(A(X_t^\gamma - x_0) - v_0)_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}} L \quad \text{in } D((0, \infty), \mathbb{R}; d_{M_1}) \quad \text{as } \gamma \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.54}$$

Consequently the Theorem 2.1 takes the following form. Let $l^{(\alpha)}$ be an α -stable Lévy process and let x^ε be the integrated OU process satisfying equations (2.6) and (2.7) with arbitrary initial conditions $x_0, v_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$(A(x_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon^\alpha}}^\varepsilon - x_0) - v_0)_{t \geq 0} \Rightarrow l^{(\alpha)} \quad \text{in } D((0, \infty), \mathbb{R}; d_{M_1}) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \tag{3.55}$$

Finally we direct the reader's attention to Section 13.6.2 in Whitt [35] and Puhalskii and Whitt [26] for more information on the treatment of discontinuities of the stochastic processes at the origin, especially on the so-called M'_1 -convergence.

4 Acknowledgements

I. P. thanks Goran Peskir for an interesting discussion about the first passage times of (integrated) Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes and Markus Riedle for various helpful comments.

References

- [1] H. Al-Talibi, A. Hilbert, and V. Kolokoltsov. Nelson-type limit for a particular class of Lévy processes. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1232(189), 2010.
- [2] F. Avram and M. S. Taqqu. Probability bounds for M -Skorohod oscillations. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 33:63–72, 1989.
- [3] F. Avram and M. S. Taqqu. Weak convergence of sums of moving averages in the α -stable domain of attraction. *The Annals of Probability*, 20:483–503, 1992.
- [4] O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen. Processes of normal inverse Gaussian type. *Finance and Stochastics*, 2(1):41–68, 1998.
- [5] O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen and N. Shephard. Integrated OU processes and non-Gaussian OU-based stochastic volatility models. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, 30(2):277–296, 2003.
- [6] B. Basrak, D. Krizmanić, and J. Segers. A functional limit theorem for partial sums of dependent random variables with infinite variance. *The Annals of Probability*. To appear.
- [7] J. Bertoin. On the first exit time of a completely asymmetric stable process from a finite interval. *The Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 28(5):514–520, 1996.
- [8] R. M. Blumenthal, R. K. Gettoor, and D. B. Ray. On the distribution of first hits for the symmetric stable processes. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 99:540–554, 1961.
- [9] A. V. Chechkin, V. Yu. Gonchar, and M. Szydłowski. Fractional kinetics for relaxation and superdiffusion in a magnetic field. *Physics of Plasmas*, 9(1):78–88, 2002.
- [10] A. V. Chechkin, R. Metzler, V. Y. Gonchar, J. Klafter, and L. V. Tanatarov. First passage and arrival time densities for Lévy flights and the failure of the method of images. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 36(41):L537–L544, 2003.
- [11] A. Dembo and O. Zeitouni. *Large deviations techniques and applications*, volume 38 of *Applications of Mathematics*. Springer, second edition, 1998.
- [12] R. A. Doney and M. S. Savov. The asymptotic behavior of densities related to the supremum of a stable process. *The Annals of Probability*, 38(1):316–326, 2010.
- [13] P. Garbaczewski and R. Olkiewicz. Ornstein–Uhlenbeck–Cauchy process. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 41(10):6843–6860, 2000.
- [14] R. K. Gettoor. First passage times for symmetric stable processes in space. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 101:75–90, 1961.
- [15] C. H. Hesse. The one-sided barrier problem for an integrated Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process. *Communications in Statistics. Stochastic Models*, 7(3):447–480, 1991.
- [16] W. Horsthemke and R. Lefever. *Noise-Induced Transitions: Theory and Applications in Physics, Chemistry, and Biology*, volume 15 of *Springer Series in Synergetics*. Springer, 1984.
- [17] L. J. Hou, Z. L. Mišković, A. Piel, and P. K. Shukla. Brownian dynamics of charged particles in a constant magnetic field. *Physics of Plasmas*, 16:053705, 2009.
- [18] A. Joulin. On maximal inequalities for stable stochastic integrals. *Potential Analysis*, 26(1):57–78, 2007.

- [19] M. Lefebvre. Moment generating function of a first hitting place for the integrated Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process. *Stochastic Processes and Their Applications*, 32(2):281–287, 1989.
- [20] D. S. Lemons and D. L. Kaufman. Brownian motion of a charged particle in a magnetic field. *IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science*, 27(5):1288–1296, 1999.
- [21] S. Louhichi and E. Rio. Functional convergence to stable lévy motions for iterated random Lipschitz mappings. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 16:2452–2480, 2011.
- [22] T. Mikosch and R. Norvaiša. Stochastic integral equations without probability. *Bernoulli*, 6(3):401–434, 2000.
- [23] G. Pang and W. Whitt. Continuity of a queueing integral representation in the m_1 topology. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 20(1):214–237, 2010.
- [24] G. Peskir. The law of the hitting times to points by a stable Lévy process with no negative jumps. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 13:653–659, 2008.
- [25] S. C. Port. The first hitting distribution of a sphere for symmetric stable processes. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 135:115–125, 1969.
- [26] A. A. Puhalskii and W. Whitt. Functional large deviation principles for first-passage-time processes. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 7(2):362–381, 1997.
- [27] L. C. G. Rogers. Evaluating first-passage probabilities for spectrally one-sided Lévy processes. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 37(4):1173–1180, 2000.
- [28] K.-I. Sato. *Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions*, volume 68 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [29] T. Simon. Hitting densities for spectrally positive stable processes. *Stochastics*, 83(2):203–214, 2011.
- [30] A. V. Skorohod. Limit theorems for stochastic processes. *Theory of Probability and its Applications*, 1:261–290, 1956.
- [31] P. L. Smith. Corrigendum to “From Poisson shot noise to the integrated Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process: Neurally principled models of information accumulation in decision-making and response time”. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 54(5):464–465, 2010.
- [32] P. L. Smith. From Poisson shot noise to the integrated Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process: Neurally principled models of information accumulation in decision-making and response time. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 54(2):266–283, 2010.
- [33] J. M. G. Taylor, W. G. Cumberland, and J. P. Sy. A stochastic model for analysis of longitudinal AIDS data. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 89(427):727–736, 1994.
- [34] E. M. Tory and D. K. Pickard. A three-parameter Markov model for sedimentation. *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 55(6):655–665, 1977.
- [35] W. Whitt. *Stochastic-process limits: an introduction to stochastic-process limits and their application to queues*. Springer, 2002.